

get them cyclostyled and circulated in appropriate cases. Even later out motions I would like them to be ready and circulated. Therefore members would try as far as possible to give the out motions today failing which tomorrow.

What time will be required by the Hon'ble Minister for Finance tomorrow to reply to the debate?

Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance).—One hour fifty minutes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—May I take it that after tea-break, time will be sufficient for the Hon'ble Minister to reply. The little time that is left may be utilized for any clarification. So, I take it that till Tea-break, tomorrow, Debate will go on. That means it will give me one hour tomorrow and the rest of the time today till 6 o'clock, i.e., 2 hours 25 minutes.

Members' Representation.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Before going to the discussion on Budget, I want to request the Finance Minister one thing.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Not without my permission.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—In the morning I had sent a note.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri S. M. Krishna had sent a note. Anyway, it is very relevant to the debate on finance. He has gone and discussed with the Finance Commission. I do not expect him to give all the details. He may give broad lines of discussion so that it may help those who participate in the discussion.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The practice was that Budget Session used to be inaugurated by the Governor and there was lot of scope for the members to participate on the Governor's Address and the Budget discussion. Now, it is only budget discussion. I request the Hon'ble Chair to extend time for budget discussion by one day and let the Finance Minister make his reply on Wednesday after question hour.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri S. M. Krishna may ask about the note he sent to me about Finance Commission.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Sir, the Finance Minister made a trip to Madras the other day along with the Chief Minister and other representatives of the Government of Mysore to make out a case before the Finance Commission for monies to the State Government. Now all of us are interested to know about it. First of all I have objection in the sense that the Finance Minister did not take this side of the House into confidence. I might even put it broadly this House was not taken into confidence by the Finance Minister. In the last two Budget speeches we have made some suggestions to be put forward before the Finance Commission. I do not know whether those suggestions have been incorporated in the Memorandum of the Finance Minister to the Finance Commission. Now, Sir, I would like to know from the Finance Minister the nature of

(SRI S. M. KRISHNA)

the memorandum or the nature of the case that was put forward on behalf of the State and the response of the Finance Commission to the demands made by the State Government.

SRI B. D. JATTI.—Myself and the Hon'ble Chief Minister had occasion and opportunity to meet the members of the Finance Commission. We have placed before them our financial difficulties in implementing a number of schemes which we have taken on hand and also we have made out our case for next year how difficult it will be for us to implement all the projects which we have already undertaken. Similarly we have tried to impress upon them how difficult it is for us even to help the non-gazetted officers of our State when other States in the Southern Zone are trying to help them. All these difficulties we have tried to place before the Commission and they gave us a very patient hearing and I hope that they have realised the difficulties and have shown their sympathetic attitude towards us. In addition to this, I propose to keep six copies of the memorandum which we have prepared, in the Legislature Secretariat Library for the use of the Members if they are interested in reading. That I will do it today.

SRI V. S. PATIL (Belgaum I).—One word I have to say regarding this representation, if you permit.

MR. SPEAKER.—Yes.

SRI V. S. PATIL.—Sir, Sri S. M. Krishna has suggested or requested mainly on the point that the Opposition was not taken into confidence while approaching the Finance Commission. May I know from the Hon'ble Minister for Finance whether they intend to follow the democratic principles followed in England and America which pattern we have accepted in this country of taking the Opposition Leaders into confidence on such matters?

MR. SPEAKER.—Opposition Leader or the entire opposition?

SRI V. S. PATIL.—Opposition Leader, not the entire opposition.

... and whether they want to follow this basic principle of parliamentary democracy or whether they want to arrogate to themselves all the power ignoring the whole Opposition including their Leaders in all these matters?

SRI B. D. JATTI.—Sir, we have incorporated all the views expressed in this House on various occasions. The convention that is followed so far as the Memorandum that is submitted to the Finance Commission is concerned, is that it should not be disclosed before it is actually presented. They will take objection if we disclose it. That is why it was not possible for us to disclose. But one thing Sir, I can say whatever suggestions were made on various occasions in the Assembly and in the Council have been taken into consideration and they have been put into proper form in the Memorandum.

SRI K. LAKKAPPA.—Sir, I want to seek some clarifications in regard to the Finance Memorandum.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—During the course of the Budget debate the Member may ask and he will reply.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—I wanted to ask him about the major irrigation, minor irrigation and other projects.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This is not the occasion. The Member may kindly mention all this in his speech on the Budget.

(Sri K. Lakkappa sat down murmuring)

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is most distressing to make remarks of this kind. First of all, I tried not to hear it. But he goes on. There must be a limit. Let the Member not do it again.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—I did not mean any disrespect to the Chair Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now Sri A. P. Appanna will commence. The PSP has taken two hours and one minute as against one hour, forty-five minutes to which they are entitled. The Congress has taken two hours and forty-seven minutes. Independents have taken one hour fourteen minutes. Sri C. J. Muckannappa has already spoken.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—No, no extension?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Which is the day to be got is the point. It is so tight-packed. The Business Advisory Committee knew it full well.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, please permit me to make a request.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Whatever it is, no requests on the Floor of the House are allowed. Members may meet me in my chambers or send me a slip; if it is all right, I will allow just as I allowed in the case of Sri S. M. Krishna.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—It is in regard to the decision taken by the Chair, whether a copy of the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Speaker be served on the party concerned—that is what I wanted to know. Whether such a practice is followed anywhere in any Legislature—that is what I wanted to know because it is not mentioned in the Rules of Procedure, even in the Lok Sabha. That is why I wanted to know.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Member reads the rules and is not able to contain himself, he can talk about it in my chambers rather than disturbing the House.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Please excuse me, Sir. I will never go against the wishes of the Speaker.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let me judge it by the future events. I have ceased to make any study. The other day Members were pleased to ask me: what exactly is the function of the Deputy Speaker, Parliamentary Secretary and so on.

(Sri C. J. Muckannappa stood up to speak)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order. Sri A. P. Appanna may speak. No member should think he is above rules.